

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228422813>

Simulating pedestrians and cars behaviours in a virtual city: an agent-based approach

Article · December 2002

CITATIONS

7

READS

165

3 authors, including:



Sylvain Lassarre

Institut Français des Sciences et Technologies des Transports, de l'Aménagement et des Réseaux

62 PUBLICATIONS **437** CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Simulating pedestrians and cars behaviours in a virtual city : an agent-based approach

Arnaud Banos¹, Abhimanyu Godara², Sylvain Lassarre³

¹SET (UMR 5603 CNRS), Université de Pau
Avenue du Doyen Poplawski, 64000 Pau, France

arnaud.banos@univ-pau.fr

²Dept. of Civil Engg., Institute of Technology, Banaras Hindu University,
221005 Varanasi, India

abhimanyu_godara@rediffmail.com

³GARIG, Institut National De Recherche sur les Transports Et Leur Sécurité,
Arcueil 94114, France

lassarre@inrets.fr

Introduction

Despite the central and fundamental role pedestrian walking plays within the urban transport system, it still remains a badly known transportation mode. Generally speaking, while most of the developed countries have been developing, for the last 40 years, a wide variety of sophisticated methods and tools aimed at studying urban mobility, only a few of them were really designed to deal with pedestrian movement, especially in interaction with the other transportation modes. Noticing the tremendous asymmetry that has been existing for long in the scientific literature between traffic flow and pedestrian movement, Weifeng and his colleagues [Weifeng, 2003] propose several explanations :

“The reason may be that the pedestrian movement is more complex than vehicular flow. First, pedestrians are more intelligent than vehicles and they can choose an optimum route according to the environment around. Secondly, pedestrians are more flexible in changing directions and not limited to the “lanes” as in vehicular flow. Thirdly, the slight bumping is acceptable and need not be absolutely avoided as in traffic flow models. So the model developed for pedestrian movement should fully consider these differences in order to study the special phenomena in pedestrian movement. It is pity that till now, most pedestrian movement models are established based on the rules used for traffic flow and consider little of the special characteristics of pedestrian movement itself”[pp. 634].

The key point we will try to defend here, is that pedestrian movement needs not only to be considered as a specific phenomenon. It also needs to be included in a much more global and complex perspective, the urban system as a whole. Pedestrian motion indeed occurs in an ever changing environment, defined by constraints and opportunities, but also nuisances and dangers. The SAMU prototype has been precisely designed to explore the behaviour of pedestrians in interaction with the motorized traffic, in a virtual city where most of the phenomenon can be mastered and studied. This idea of designing “virtual laboratories” [Batty and Torrens, 2001], within which “artificial societies” can be grown for example [Epstein and Axtell, 1995] has become very popular in the recent years and is largely related to two other fields, science of complex systems and agent based modelling. More, it is firmly embedded in a microscopic approach of urban mobility, where the world is represented as closely as possible in a one-to-one way, which means that “*people should be represented as people, cars should be represented as cars and traffic lights should be represented as traffic lights and not as, say, departure rates, traffic streams and capacities respectively*” [Nagel et al., 2000].

The SAMU prototype

While being fundamental steps, most of the micro-simulation approaches of pedestrian movement [Blue and Adler, 1998 ; Helbing et Monnar, 1997 ; Helbing et al., 2001 ; Batty, 2003 ; Haklay et al., 2001 ; Kerridge et al., 2001] have the drawback of relying on an excessive simplification of the urban environment (a corridor, a place or a room). Motivations and goals of pedestrians are also particularly simplified, reduced to the couple destination to reach / obstacles to avoid. These limitations encouraged other researchers to explore agent-based models of pedestrian movement [Batty, 2003 ; Haklay et al., 2001 ; Kerridge et al., 2001 ; Schweitzer, 2003 ; Zachariadis, 2005]. In this last family, each pedestrian/agent is defined by a set of capacities and tries to achieve a set of goals, interacting locally with its environment and with other agents.

The prototype SAMU¹ directly relies to that specific field, its originality being defined by its focus on interactions between pedestrian and traffic flows. Developed in NETLOGO², SAMU is a hybrid model, combining characteristics of both cellular automata and agent-based models. Cars and pedestrians are indeed defined as agents, situated on an active grid (Figure 1), with which they interact.





Figure 1 : the basic principle of SAMU

Then, agents have to perform specific tasks, interacting locally with other agents and with their environment. Figure 2 shows the prototype developed in order to observe and test these interactions, as well as emerging parameters, such as speed of cars or proportion of cars/pedestrians collisions.



¹ Simulation Agents et Modélisation Urbaine, <http://www.univ-pau.fr/~banos/sma.html>

² <http://www.ccl.sesp.northwestern.edu/netlogo>

Figure 2 : The SAMU prototype

Model Formulation

Our prototype SAMU, developed in Netlogo, integrates both cellular Automata and Agent-Based Modelling approaches to generate a new model of cars behaviours by appropriately modifying earlier NaSch/ChSch rules [Nagel and Schreckenberg, 1992] to take into account pedestrian and also turning movements. We also consider two-way traffic with turning movements to bring the model closer to the real world.

Following the prescription of the NaSch model, we allow the speed V of each vehicle to take one of the $V_{max} + 1$ integer values $V = 0, 1, 2, \dots, V_{max}$. For urban systems we do not want to have V_{max} more than 70 km/h. So we are taking maximum speed as 3 (22.5 m/s as each cell is 7.5 m in length as in NaSch model). Suppose V_n is the speed of the n th vehicle at time t while moving in any direction (different from NaSch/ChSch/BML model where vehicles move either towards east or towards north and number of cars is fixed on a given road). To emphasize the effect of turning movements and pedestrians we are considering only unsignalized intersections in our model and also we want each car to stop at the intersection and decide regarding the turning movements to get homogeneity. The above assumption is true considering the fact that drivers become more cautious and reduce their speed at intersections to avoid any kind of collisions with other vehicles. At each discrete time step $t \rightarrow t+1$, the arrangement of N vehicles is updated in parallel according to the following “rules”:

Step 1: Acceleration

If $V_n < V_{max}$, the speed of the n th vehicle is increased by one, i.e., $V_n \rightarrow V_n + 1$.

Step 2: Deceleration (due to other vehicles, intersections, or pedestrians)

Suppose D_n is the gap in between the n th vehicle and the vehicle in front of it, P_n is the distance between the same car and the closest intersection in front of it (note here intersection not signal, which makes the model more general) where the car has to make a decision about turning, and D is the minimum gap between the car under consideration and the pedestrian in front of it on the road (if any).

Now, If $\min(D_n, P_n, D) \leq V_n$

and if $\min(D_n, P_n) < D$,

then $V_n \rightarrow \min(D_n - 1, P_n)$

else $V_n \rightarrow D - 1$ (the motivation for this choice comes from the fact that to avoid accident, the car will stop one cell before the pedestrian)

Step 3: Randomization

If $V_n > 0$, the speed of the car under consideration is decreased randomly by unity (i.e., $V_n \rightarrow V_n - 1$) with probability p ($0 \leq p \leq 1$); p the random deceleration probability is identical for all the vehicles, and does not change during updating.

Step 4: Movement

Each vehicle moves forward with the given speed i.e. $X_n \rightarrow X_n + V_n$, where X_n denotes the position of the n th vehicle at any time t .

The major changes are made in step 2 which reflects the interaction among vehicles and pedestrians. Step 4 shows there are no more north-bound or east-bound vehicles, the speed of each car being updated simultaneously without any specific classification. While being a work in progress, SAMU already provides an ergonomic platform useful to test the behaviour of the system under different configurations of parameters. Anyway, reaching such a modelling level, without being flooded with microscopic details, requires an ad-hoc procedure. Crucial principles like reductionism and parsimony [Batty and Torrens, 2001] may therefore constitute main guidelines, in our quest for

the identification of the micro-specifications sufficient to generate macrostructures of interest [Epstein, 1999].

References

- Batty M., 2003 : Agent-based pedestrian modelling, CASA paper n° 61, 26 p.
- Blue V., Adler J., 1998 : Emergent fundamental pedestrian flows from cellular automata microsimulation, Transportation Research Record, vol. 1644, pp. 29-36
- Drogoul A., 2000 : Systèmes multi-agents distribués, Habilitation à Diriger des Recherches, Université de Paris 6, 117 p.
- Epstein J., 1999 : Agent-based computational models and generative social science, Complexity, Vol. 4, N°5
- Epstein J., Axtell R., 1996 : Growing artificial societies : social science from the bottom up, Brookings Institution Press, MIT Press, Washington DC
- Hacklay M., Thurstain-Goodwin M., O'Sullivan D., Schelhorn T., 2001 : So go downtown : simulating pedestrian movement in town centers, Environment and Planning B, n° 28, pp. 343-359
- Helbing D., Molnar P., Farkas I., Bolay K., 2001 : Self-organizing pedestrian movement, Environment and Planning B, n° 28, pp. 361-383
- Kerridge J., Hine J., Wigan M., 2001 : Agent-based modelling of pedestrian movements : the questions that need to be asked and answered, Environment and Planning B, vol. 28, pp. 327-341
- Nagel K., Schreckenberg M., 1992: Cellular automaton models for freeway traffic. Physics. I , 2, 2221-2229
- Nagel Kai, Esser Jorg, Rickert Marcus, 2000 : Large-scale traffic simulations for transportation planning, Annual Reviews of Computational Physics VII, pp. 151-202
- Scheiwtzer F., 2003 : Brownian Agents and active particles Springer-Verlag
- Weifeng Fang, Lizhong Yang., Weicheng Fan, 2003 : Simulation of bi-direction pedestrian movement using a cellular automata model, Physica A 321, p. 633 – 640